

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/823,412	04/13/2004	Gianni Pasqualini	2031-043918	3241	
75	7590 09/18/2006			EXAMINER	
William H. Logsdon WEBB ZIESENHEIM LOGSDON ORKIN & HANSON, P.C. 700 Koppers Building			KIM, SUN U		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
436 Seventh Av	enue enue	. 1723			
Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1818			DATE MAILED: 09/18/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/823,412	PASQUALINI, GIANNI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	-	John Kim	1723				
The	MAILING DATE of this communication a						
Period for Rep	ly		•				
WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) M - If NO period fo - Failure to reply Any reply rece	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP IR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior y within the set or extended period for reply will, by staticized by the Office later than three months after the mail term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Respo	onsive to communication(s) filed on 13	April 2004.					
· — ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of	Claims						
4)⊠ Claim	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim	6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim	8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Pa	pers						
9)∏ The sp	ecification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) <u> </u>	wledgment is made of a claim for foreig b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority docume)-(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	Copies of the certified copies of the pri	• •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	propose Cited (BTO 202)	n □	(DTO 440)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🔲 Information D	isclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/823,412 Page 2

Art Unit: 1723

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-14, drawn to a blood treatment unit, classified in class 422, subclass 44.
- II. Claims 15-20, drawn to a blood treatment machine with a control device, classified in class 210, subclass 97.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP§806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because any other blood treatment unit such as a hemofilter can be used. The subcombination has separate utility such as carbon dioxide removal unit.

- 3. The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP§821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the

Application/Control Number: 10/823,412

Art Unit: 1723

inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP§808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. A telephone call was made to Mr. Alexander Detschelt on 8/31/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Mr. Detschelt requested a written restriction.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

Application/Control Number: 10/823,412

Art Unit: 1723

inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-1142. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7 a.m. - 3:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Kim can be reached on 571-272-1142. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723 Page 4

JK September 14, 2006